MAKING SENSE OF A SUPREME COURT ARGUMENT

**Morse v. Frederick (2007)**

**Constitutional Question: Does the First Amendment allow public schools to prohibit students from displaying messages promoting the use of illegal drugs at school-supervised events?**

|  |
| --- |
| **Opinion of the Court (Justice Roberts)** |
| **Argument: School officials did not violate the 1st Amendment, because schools must safeguard students from the encouragement of illegal drug use.** |
| Claim A:Reasoning/Evidence to Support Claim (short quotes with line numbers) |
| Claim B:Reasoning/Evidence to Support Claim (short quotes with line numbers) |

MAKING SENSE OF A SUPREME COURT ARGUMENT

**Morse v. Frederick (2007)**

**Constitutional Question: Does the First Amendment allow public schools to prohibit students from displaying messages promoting the use of illegal drugs at school-supervised events?**

|  |
| --- |
| **Concurrence (Justice Thomas)** |
| **Argument: Public schools may prohibit speech advocating illegal drug use, and students should not have 1st Amendment rights at all in schools.** |
| Claim:Reasoning & Evidence to Support Claim (short quotes with line numbers) |
| Claim:Reasoning & Evidence to Support Claim (short quotes with line numbers) |

MAKING SENSE OF A SUPREME COURT ARGUMENT

**Morse v. Frederick (2007)**

**Constitutional Question: Does the First Amendment allow public schools to prohibit students from displaying messages promoting the use of illegal drugs at school-supervised events?**

|  |
| --- |
| **Dissent (Stevens)** |
| **Argument: The school’s interest in protecting students from exposure to speech about illegal drug use cannot justify limiting the 1st Amendment.**  |
| Claim:Reasoning/Evidence to Support Claim (short quotes with line numbers) |
| Claim:Reasoning/Evidence to Support Claim (short quotes with line numbers) |